

AD _____

Award Number: DAMD17-00-1-0227

TITLE: Mechanisms of Intraductal Tumor Spread

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Carlos Ortiz de Solorzano, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of California at Berkeley
Berkeley, California 94720

REPORT DATE: August 2001

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20011128 222

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE August 2001	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (1 Aug 00 - 31 Jul 01)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Mechanisms of Intraductal Tumor Spread			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-00-1-0227	
6. AUTHOR(S) Carlos Ortiz de Solorzano, Ph.D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of California at Berkeley Berkeley, California 94720 E-Mail: CODeSolorzano@lbl.gov			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. Abstract (Maximum 200 Words) (abstract should contain no proprietary or confidential information) We have developed a three-dimensional computer-based quantitative microscopy platform to be applied to simultaneous morphological and molecular studies of both normal and neoplastic mammary tissue. Using our system, we can reconstruct relevant microscopic tissue structures (e.g. mammary ducts, lobules, lymph nodes, tumor masses, etc.) from consecutive thin tissue sections. Then, using 3D virtual reconstruction, we can perform quantitative morphological measurements combined with directed -structure defined- high resolution analysis of molecular events. The system will be applied to study the mechanisms of intraductal tumor spread, by reconstructing in 3D and performing cell-level genetic analysis of pre-invasive tumors. We'll look for morphologically normal, genetically abnormal cells within the normal ducts spreading from the primary tumor, which could explain the pre-metastatic spread of the disease. So far, we have tested our system by fully reconstructing a biopsy of a patient with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) of the Breast, alternatively stained with H&E for standard Histology and with a counterstain + FISH to the erb-b2 gene. We have successfully imaged and reconstructed the biopsy using the H&E sections and revisited at high resolution the fluorescent areas of interest, which were the tumor masses and some morphologically normal ducts in the periphery of the tumor.				
14. Subject Terms (keywords previously assigned to proposal abstract or terms which apply to this award) Three-dimensional microscopy, computer aided tissue reconstruction, DCIS, FISH			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 30	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18
298-102

Table of Contents

Cover.....	1
SF 298.....	2
Table of Contents.....	3
Introduction.....	4
Body.....	5
Key Research Accomplishments.....	15
Reportable Outcomes.....	16
Conclusions.....	18
References.....	19
Figures, Appendices.....	20

INTRODUCTION

When a cancerous lump is detected early in the breast, the patient may elect to undergo the less traumatic treatment of lumpectomy. The treatment involves removing the cancerous lesion while leaving most of the breast intact. Although the surfaces of the excised tissue are normally checked for signs of cancer to ensure that the lesion was completely removed, approximately 1 in 5 of these patients suffer from recurrence of the disease. Therefore, lumpectomy alone frequently does not render the patient disease free. We hypothesize that diseased cells exist outside the histologically identifiable border of the biopsied lesion, most likely in the form of individual or small groups of cells that have extended from the primary lesion. These cells could eventually create new secondary cancer foci. The alternative hypothesis is that the disease is really multicentric and exists as a system of independent non-connected (neither physically nor genetically) foci. This project uses 3D digital microscopy for analyzing tissue structure at multiple scales and in situ genetic analysis to recognize normal from diseased cells on an individual basis. By combining these two techniques, we will be able to measure the spatial distribution of genetically aberrant cells versus normal appearing cells beyond the leading edge of the intraductal lesions. These cancer cells lying in the lumen of morphologically normal ducts could be easily overlooked using traditional histology staining. The distribution of cancer cells will help us understand the spreading mechanism. Answering this question may help us to predict before surgery which patients will suffer recurrence, which patients need additional treatment following lumpectomy to avoid recurrence, and provide valuable information in the search for new treatments.

In this project we will use computerized microscopy to locate a lesion inside a duct and to trace in 3 dimensions the ducts branching from it. Then, using the technique described above we will detect the abnormal cells in the normal looking ducts extending from the lesion. Analysis of the spatial pattern of abnormal cells will tell us if isolated or small groups of cancer cells are present or if some other spreading mechanism is taking place, and how far the spreading is from the lesion.

BODY

Our accomplishments during the first year (08/01/00-07/31/01) of the project will be described following the Tasks enumerated in the approved proposal. The tasks are listed below, and the sub-tasks corresponding to the first funding year have been underlined. The text has references to the numbered tasks (e.g. see *Task 1.1*) where the fulfillment of the tasks is explained. Before starting describing them, it has to be noted that most of the goals have been amply fulfilled, including some that were scheduled for the second year, even though the effective start date of the work was 10/01/01, i.e. two months after the administrative start date. This has been possible in part thanks to the liberality of the contract officers, which allowed us to join the budgetary efforts of this and our other grant, "*Three-dimensional computer-based mammary gland reconstruction for measurement of the patterns of hormone receptor expression during mammary development*" (DAMD17-00-1-0306), which goals for the first year partially overlapped with the goals of this grant.

Task 1. (Months 1-12) *Modify an existing microscopic imaging system for acquiring low magnification (1 pixel= 5 μ m) images of entire tissue sections and for tracing in 3D the ducts in the tissue specimen from a series of images of adjacent sections.*

1. Complete the existing JAVA based software for interactive marking and 3D virtual rendering of ducts so that it allows any branching pattern. (Months 1-6)
2. Interface the existing acquisition and registration software with the JAVA application to allow revisiting of acquired slides for inspection and high-resolution acquisition of areas of interest. (Months 6-12)

ACOMPLISHMENTS

Under the combined budgetary efforts of this and grant DAMD17-00-1-0306, we have developed a client-server application, following the scheme shown in **Figure 1**. The server is a C application that runs on a computer (Dell Inspiron, running Solaris 7 for Intel) physically attached to an Axioplan (Zeiss Inc., Germany) microscope. The server provides access to the drivers that control the moving parts of the microscope: motorized scanning stage, excitation filter wheel and arc-lamp light blocking shutter (Ludl Electronic Products Ltd., Hawthorne, NY), as well as to the controller of the CCD Microlmager camera (Xillix Technologies Corp., Richmond, British Columbia, Canada). Besides giving access to basic operations, such as acquiring and storing images, setting the exposure time of the CCD or moving the stage and or the filter wheel, the server has been programmed to offer more complex functions. Some of them are automatic focusing of the microscope or acquisition of multiple-field of view images. To do this, the server receives the order and divides it into a set of simple actions. For instance, to acquire a multiple field-of-view images, the server takes the coordinates of the vertices of the area to acquire and then automatically performs the sequence of stage movements and camera operations for first creating a focus map of the area and then acquiring a set of consecutive images that covers the area. The output is a mosaic-like image of the area. The server permits multi-color acquisition in fluorescence, by performing consecutive acquisition using different excitation filters.

The client (insofar referred as R3D2) is connected to the server through UNIX sockets, which are the standard for Internet-based communications. This means that it

V

can send requests to the server from any computer internet-connected to the server. To obtain the maximum benefit from this fact, R3D2 has been written in JAVA (v.1.2), so that it can be executed on different computer platforms.

Figure 2 shows the R3D2's Graphical User Interface (GUI). The interface is divided in two distinguishable parts. One -rightmost vertical panel in Figure 2- provides connections to the server and allows the user to request its services through a user-friendly interface. The available actions are:

- *Connect*: Establishes a new connection with the server via an Internet socket. All the following options assume that this action has been successful.
- *Set Objective lens*: Our system does not have a motorized objective tower, and therefore this option does not effectively change the objective lens positioned in the light path. However, the user must update the lens when manually changing the lenses, because this information is required for some of the remaining options.
- *Change Filter*: Changes the excitation filter. This is needed when using fluorescence microscopy.
- *Exposure Time*: Sets the exposure time for the CCD camera (in ms.)
- *Set Origin*: This option moves the scanning stage of the microscope to its absolute mechanical origin of coordinates, relative to which all future movements and measurements are done. This is required to precisely locate the areas of the slides where images are taken, which is necessary for accurate revisiting of the slide and correct reconstruction of the tissue.
- *Acquire image*: Takes an image using the existing settings. R3D2 receives the image from the server and displays it, both complete -zoomed out- as well as partially -in their original resolution-. Only a small part of the full-resolution image can be displayed at full resolution. The area can be interactively determined by moving a window on the complete version of the image (**Figure 3**). Images can be saved both in ICS [Dean 90] and JPEG format. When images are saved as ICS, all the acquisition parameters (objective, filter, location of the image on the slide are, etc.) are stored in the ICS header file.
- *Autofocus*: Automatically focuses the microscope by taking a series of images at different positions in the Z axis (step size=0.5 microns) and determining the best focused image of the series. Blur due out of focus light reduces image contrast, which can be detected using several functions. Based on several comparisons described in the existing literature [Groen 85][Firestone 91][Santos 97], we selected an autocorrelation-based measurement introduced by Vollath [Vollath 87].

- *Open/Close Shutter:* Closes the arc lamp light blocking shutter, to reduce photobleaching due to unnecessary light exposure. This is useful when using fluorescence microscopy.
- *Scan:* Acquires multiple field of view images. The system displays a dialogue-panel (Figure 4) where the user can specify the filter(s) to be used (in fluorescence microscopy), exposure time(s) and the limits of the area to acquire. The limits can be defined by its coordinates (when known) or manually, by moving the microscope to the upper, lower, rightmost and leftmost points of the area. Figure 5 shows an example of an image of a complete tissue section.
- *Revisit Point:* When the user clicks on a point of a previously imaged section, the server moves the stage to that location on the slide and takes an image using the current values of objective, filter and exposure time.
- *Revisit Area:* When using the option, the user is prompted to draw a rectangle on the image of a section. Then a dialogue panel similar to the one used to acquire sections asks the user for the parameters of the acquisition(s). Then the system automatically acquires the area(s). This can be used to re-acquire areas using a different magnification and/or filter (when using fluorescence). This feature greatly simplifies some tasks, such as determining and acquiring areas of interest (e.g. sections of mammary ducts, blood vessels, tumor micro-invasions, etc.) on whole tissue sections. Using our system one can, for instance, acquire a whole-view of a section at low magnification (x2.5, x10), and then revisit as many areas as he/she wants for acquisition (e.g. all the ducts on a section of mammary gland) at higher magnification (x40, x63, x100). The process is shown in Figure 6. Multiple areas within a section can be background-acquired by the system without any human interaction.

Besides providing access to the server functions. The second part of the interface (two left vertical panels in Figure 2) expands the system capabilities, by allowing creating and handling sets of related images, which we call *Cases*. A case can have multiple-color, multiple-magnification related images, easily accessible and meaningfully stored.

For us, a case is a sequence of low-magnification images of complete tissue sections taken from a tissue block, along with all the areas re-visited on them at higher resolution and/or with different filters. Finally the user can add information to the cases, by marking and/or delineating structures of interest and linking them within and between consecutive sections (**See Task 1.1**). These are the types of marks and some actions that can be performed related to them:

- *Text:* Textual annotations to identify structures of interest for future study or to draw the attention of a different user.
- *Duct:* Identifies ductal structures. A section of a duct perpendicular to the sectioning plane is marked with a circle in the center lumen. Each circle has a unique number that identifies it. Its coordinates are stored in a data

structure that contains information on all the ducts and their interconnections

- *Connected Ducts*: Connects ductal marks. Within the same section, an elongated, longitudinal section of a duct, is normally marked with two *Duct* marks connected by a line. If both ends are located on different sections, the connection is displayed by changing the color of both *Duct* marks when the mouse travels over any one of them.
- *Shapes*: Delineates irregularly shaped ducts or other structures (e.g. tumor masses, lymph nodes, etc.).
- *Groups*: Groups series or *Shapes* corresponding to consecutive sections. The *Shapes* are grouped by sequentially clicking on them while the option to create *Groups* is on. To show grouping, the system changes the color of all the *Shapes* of the group every time the mouse moves into any one of them. *Groups* identify connected objects, such as sections of a tumor mass, lymph nodes, etc.
- *See Area*: Displays previously acquired images of Areas of interest, when the user clicks on the rectangle that locates them within their corresponding image section.

Before reconstructing a Case in 3D, all its sections must be registered. For that, we calculate the Rigid-Body Transform that gives the optimum rotation and translation between each pair of sections. The Transform is calculated from six pairs of points interactively marked by the user on each pair of images to be registered. Once the points have been marked, the software calculates the rotation and translation (θ , t_x , t_y) needed to minimize the sum of the squared distances between all six pairs of corresponding points. The results are stored in the ICS header file of the second image. When registering a Case, all sections are aligned with the first section of the case, either by directly registering them to the first section or by using already corrected coordinates of contiguous sections.

This method is very accurate when the pairs of points are spread all along the sections. Reasons for small errors are imprecise mouse interaction, stretching and/or compression of the tissue, and the fact that some structures used to select pairs of corresponding points might not be perpendicular to the sections. Using as many pairs of points as possible can average out these small errors. That is why, instead of using just four pairs of registration points –which is the minimum required for this method to work– we use six.

An important feature of R3D2 is that all Case-handling and marking functions can be used in parallel to the functions that request microscope actions. Therefore, acquiring a new section can be done in parallel to any other Case related function (e.g. registering already acquired sections or annotating the images). This is possible because we are using Solaris threads. Threads permit executing multiple parallel copies of a program without multiplying resource use. Each thread shares memory and other resources with other threads. R3D2 runs on a main thread and when a microscope-based operation is selected, it launches a new thread that runs on the same memory space as the main

one. Thus, the client can accept handling and marking operations on the main thread while a microscope-based operation is executed at the new one. This also guarantees that, in the case of a microscope failure or a socket error, the system will not die abruptly, as only the thread working on the microscope will be affected.

The most innovative feature of our system consists on its ability to reconstruct the tissue structures by rendering the user markings in 3D (**See Task 1.1**). For that, we have used Java3D (v.1.2.1.) This is an Application Programming Interface (API) for 3D in Java. After asking the user for the range of sections to render, the system converts the coordinates of all the markings in that range of sections from two-dimensional to three-dimensional values. The section number and thickness, along with the distance between sections, determines the Z -depth- coordinate. Then a 3D scene is built using several geometric shapes to represent the different markings: spheres for sections of ducts perpendicular to the section, lines for connections, polygons for shapes, ... Once the Case is rendered (using another thread) it is displayed in a new window where the mouse can be used to rotate the scene, to zoom in or out or to translate the scene in the X and/or Y directions.

The 3D window includes a tool bar with options to select elements. Selecting elements one can get information about them, load the image(s) that contain that element or move the microscope to its location on the slide for re-imaging. That way, by just clicking on elements of the 3D scene, the user can both display and/or revisit areas of interest which could have been otherwise missed using a conventional 2D analysis (**See Task 1.2**). The window also has buttons to hide/show connections, shapes, areas (acquired at higher magnification) and the three-dimensional coordinate axis. There is also a button to reset the scene to the default view and combo boxes to change the scale in any of the three dimensions.

We will now illustrate the use of our system by showing the steps followed to image and reconstruct a tissue block from a patient with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ of the Breast (DCIS). The block was used to test the system, to determine the optimum spacing between sections.

Tissue source

The tissue was a Breast lumpectomy specimen. After surgery the tissue was fixed in an alcoholic-formalin solution, and embedded in paraffin per routine at California Pacific Medical Center in 1981. The case was originally staged as a T1N0M0 (Stage 1). Several blocks of approximately 3mm thickness were taken. The block we used contained DCIS and benign ducts; there was no invasive tumor present. The tumor was positive for erbB2 by immunohistochemistry, performed a 4 μ m section taken from the top of the block.

Tissue preparation

The block was sectioned at 4 μ m thickness. We collected onto a plus (treated) slide every 5th section only. Every other section collected was stained with H&E. The rest of collected sections were sent to the Cytogenetics core for FISH. We collected 66 tissue sections, 40 μ m apart. The odd-numbered sections were the H&E stained sections. The even-numbered sections were processed for FISH, with a probe for the erb-b2 gene, which is amplified in 30% of carcinomas of the Breast.

Imaging of tissue sections

Full tissue sections were imaged in bright field (odd sections), or fluorescence, using a single-line filter block (360 nm excitation) for DAPI (even sections), using R3D2's *Scan* option (See Figure 7). Sections were imaged at 10X with a Fluar (0.5 na) objective lens (Zeiss, Wetzlar, Germany). In order to optimize memory use while keeping enough resolution for Histology, images were reduced by a factor of 4 in both X and Y directions, which gave us an effective 2.5X magnification, i.e. a sixteen fold reduction in image size. After image compression, the average image size of the sections was 25Mbytes. The compression was necessary to speed up image transmission from the server and display on the client GUI.

Creation of Cases

One case was created initially empty. The acquired sections were added with a number equal to its section number. All sections were manually registered to ensure proper alignment of the to-be-done annotations. Each section was registered to its previous section, thus aligning all sections with the first H&E section of the block.

Tissue Annotation

All H&E sections were manually annotated. We marked the centers of the ducts by placing a circular mark (R3D2's *Duct* tool) in the lumen -when the duct was perpendicular to the image plane- or with a line -when the duct was sectioned longitudinally-. Then we connected the markings within and between consecutive sections using the *Connect Ducts* option. We also delineated the tumor areas using R3D2's *Shapes* option and grouped their consecutive sections using the *Groups* options. (See Figure 8).

3D Reconstruction

The reconstruction of the case, based on the H&E sections can be seen in Figure 9. All the user markings are interactive, in that by clicking on them the user can i) obtain positional information, ii) load the area of the original section(s) containing that marking or iii) revisit the point or area. For the latter the microscope automatically moves to the position of the marking in the tissue. In situ tumors were rendered as volumes in 3D and ducts as lines.

Revisit of Areas of Interest

Several areas were revisited at high-resolution (40X), with a Plan-Neofluar (1.3 na) oil immersion lens (Zeiss, Wetzlar, Germany). Areas were selected having either morphologically normal or abnormal (DCIS) areas. Areas were triple-scanned using a Pinkel filter set (Chroma Technologies, Brattleboro, VT). We consecutively scanned the sections exciting the sample at 360, 490 and 572 nm to image DAPI (nuclei), FITC (17c probe) or CY3 (erb-b2 gene). The areas were acquired at full resolution and then compressed by a factor of 2 in both X and Y directions for an effective 20X magnification. Areas were manually selected from the images of the fluorescent sections, although it could have been done from the 3D reconstruction as well. To ensure proper alignment between the areas and the sections, the images of the sections were previously aligned with the microscope slide by calculating the shift between the slide's current location and its location when the image of the whole section was originally acquired. Figure 10 shows the 3D reconstruction, now incorporating the high-resolution acquired areas, displayed as rectangles. As all other elements in the reconstruction model, the areas can be loaded or revisited by clicking on them.

Immediate future work will be automatically analyzing all the acquired areas to see the distribution of erb2 abnormal cells in different parts of the block.

PROBLEMS

The main problems faced so far are:

- The size of the images, when acquiring entire tissue sections even at low magnification (10x), is extremely large (130 Mb. or more). This exhausts the computer memory when more than one full-section is displayed, even using a computer with 512 Mb of RAM memory, the reason being the high memory consumption of the Operating System and the JAVA Virtual Machine, which leave limited resources for the client requirements. In order to make the system work at a reasonably speed without compromising its use, we introduced a compression factor which can be set by the user before acquiring a section. The user can choose between acquiring the image at full resolution (10X), half resolution (effective 5x) or at one forth of the original resolution (effective 2.5X). Using the highest compression factor ($\frac{1}{4}$) is recommended when imaging tissue sections larger than 1 cm^2 . Smaller tissue sections can be acquired using half or full resolution. Consistency requires maintaining equal resolution for all sections of a case. A similar problem occurs when revisiting areas at high-resolution (40X). In this case, we recommend using the intermediate compression factor ($\frac{1}{2}$), for an effective 20X magnification. We are in the process of testing whether that resolution level is enough for accurate FISH spot enumeration.
- Scanning whole sections is an unsupervised operation, which uses parameters previously defined by the user. The exposure time is one of these parameters. That value is set before starting scanning and does not change until the scanning is done. In fluorescence, this is a problem, since the effect of the preparation protocols (e.g. protein digestion, nuclear permeabilization in FISH or Immunohistochemistry, etc.) varies from cell type to cell type. Thus the concentration of fluorescent material that reaches its target, may it be the chromatin, a gene or a protein, varies between different parts of the tissue. Therefore, the exposure time that is appropriate for one part of the tissue may not be appropriate for another. That affects the quality of the images, since some areas tend to be either overexposed or underexposed. At the moment we manually selected an intermediate value that allows us to image dark areas without overexposing bright areas. This works in almost all cases, but renders images with varying contrast. To avoid that, we are developing an algorithm which, when activated by the user, will adjust the exposure time to the fluorescence intensity.
- An important problem is that, due to tissue complexity, image annotation of real tissue specimens is very time consuming. However, most of our studies scheduled for the two coming years don't require fully sectioning of biopsies, since a correct planning the sectioning will reduce the number of sections required. There is also the immediate option of increasing the distance between sections, using only one every N sections for the reconstruction. N has to be low enough as to ensure that it is still possible to determine ductal continuity between adjacent sections. We are now in the process of determining the optimum value.

Finally, we are working on algorithms to automate the detection of ducts. The options are to fully automatic detection and reconstruction the ducts, followed by interactive correction, or manual marking of an intermediate slide and then automatic 'growth' of the structures from that slide towards the contiguous sections.

- A final problem, related to the previous one, is the redundancy of information in the 3D reconstruction. Marking the same structure on consecutive sections sometimes leads to 3D objects with too much level of detail. This can complicate the interpretation of the results. We are working on the way to 'prune' the 3D reconstruction of the markings and create a skeletonized version of it.

Task 2. (Months 1-30) Using invasive cancer specimens with intraductal extension of DCIS, identify DNA loci that are amplified in a high proportion of the cells of the invasive lesion using CGH.

1. Select 9 mastectomy specimens following the criteria described in the Methods section of the Proposal body (Months 1-6)
2. Section and H&E stain mastectomy specimens (Months 6-12, 2 specimens; Months 12-24, 5 specimens; Months 24-30, 2 specimens)
3. Acquire sections using our registration software (Months 12-24 6 specimens; Months 24-30, 3 specimens)
4. Reconstruct the mammary ducts and identify the leading edge of the intraductal component (Months 12-24, 5 specimens; Months 24-30, 4 specimens)

Task 3. (Months 1-30) Use FISH with probes to the loci identified by CGH

1. Do CGH on the selected mastectomy specimens (Months 1-6)
2. Do FISH to the two most amplified regions and a normal part of the genome (for control purposes) on intermediate sections to those used for the reconstruction of the ductal system (Months 6-12, 2 specimens; Months 12-24, 5 specimens; Months 24-30, 2 specimens)

Task 4. (Months 18-36) Use high magnification (1 pixel= 0.5 μ m) fluorescence microscopy, look for individual cells with the same amplified loci in the ducts emanating from the DCIS lesions. Assuming that we see genetically aberrant cells, measure the spatial relationship of these cells to the surrounding cells in order to characterize the pattern of aberrant cells and thus to provide information about the spreading mechanism of the disease.

1. Automatically enumerate FISH spots and measure the spatial distribution of aberrant cells (if found) in the histologically normal ducts starting at the very front of the intraductal tumor expansion. (Months 18-24, 4 specimens; Months 24-36, 5 specimens)

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The change on tissue source described in the following section (Problems, changes), delayed the selection of mastectomy specimens. Also, given the problems associated to fluorochrome fading with time, we decided to select and process each case immediately before sectioning and staining it, instead of sectioning and staining the tissue blocks several months before imaging the sections and performing the analysis, which was originally scheduled to start at month 18th. So far, we have sectioned, stained, imaged at low and high-resolution one case (described above). We are now starting the analysis of the case, looking at the distribution of normal vs. abnormal cells in a Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) case.

PROBLEMS, CHANGES

There has been a change in the tissue source and handling. Originally, the tissue that we were going to use was residual mastectomy tissue provided by Dr. Shanaz Dairkee, from California Pacific Medical Center (CPMC). Soon after the start date of the project, Dr. Dairkee informed us that, due to the way the tissue had been processed and kept, the DNA of the cells was not in a state appropriate for FISH. They had tried several times, with no satisfactory results, due to low efficiency of the hybridization and

extremely high background autofluorescence, which completely masked all the FISH signals.

It was then suggested by Dr. Dairkee and Dr. Joe Gray, who acts as consultant for the grant, that we use In Situ Hybridization instead of Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization. This required changing and optimizing the hybridization protocol, since Dr. Gray's lab expertise is mainly FISH. ISH was done once, unsuccessfully. This confirmed the initial observation that the DNA of the cells was not in a state appropriate for any type of hybridization. This, plus the fact that moving from FISH to ISH would have required some important modification of the microscopy and imaging system, and the fact that ISH is not appropriate for multiple probes (and therefore multiple colors) due to the broad absorbency spectrum of all chromogenic enzymatic stains, forced us to look for an additional source of tissue.

Dr. Fred Waldman, who also acts as a consultant for this grant, suggested using the UCSF tissue core, which collects tissue blocks from Breast Cancer surgery, being all blocks appropriately documented in terms of histo-pathological stage. Everything else, regarding privacy on collecting and handling the tissue, remained the same, so as to ensure the validity of the exemption from full Human Subject Review granted for the original tissue. In any case, the change was reported to the Institutional Review Board of my employer (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory). Once confirmed the approval, the subcontract with CPMC was voided. The sectioning costs of the tissue core were substantially lower than the ones allocated under the CPMC subcontract, and therefore were included under the existing subcontract with UCSF.

A second change regarding tissue preparation was due to the fact that after submitting this grant, Dr. Koei Chin (Dr. Gray's postdoc at the UCSF Cancer Center) was assigned to work on a newly funded project. That prevented him from being able to do the tissue staining (CGH and FISH) for this project, as was originally planned under the subcontract with Dr. Gray's lab at the UCSF Cancer Center. Again, upon suggestion by Dr. Waldman, we decided to make use of the UCSF Cancer Center Cytogenetics core, which provides staining and FISH of tissue samples. The staining costs are therefore paid using the existing subcontract without substantial budget change.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The first year of the grant was used to develop the microscopy and computer infrastructure that will be used in the following two years. In summary:

- We have developed a 3D computerized microscopy platform that allows image acquisition of entire tissue sections at low resolution, and then provides interactive tools for marking structures of interest (in our case mammary ducts, tumor masses, etc.). The system can reconstruct the annotations in 3D to provide a better understanding of tissue organization which can be used to plan high resolution analysis of areas of interest.
- The 3D reconstruction is linked to the microscope, to allow revisiting and re-acquisition of areas of interest at high magnification and multiple fluorescent channels (when using fluorescence microscopy).
- To test the system, we have successfully imaged, reconstructed and revisited at high resolution a biopsy of tissue from a patient with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ (DCIS) of the breast. The tissue was fully sectioned and alternatively stained with H&E (odd sections) and a nuclear fluorescent counterstain (DAPI) plus FISH with a probe against the DNA locus of the *erb-b2* producing gene (even sections).

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

Manuscripts:

- *"Recent advances in quantitative digital image analysis and applications in Breast Cancer"*. Ortiz de Solorzano C., Callahan D.E., Parvin B., Costes S., Barcellos-Hoff, M.H. Review paper submitted to *Microscopy Research and Technique*.
- *"Application of three-dimensional image analysis to the study of Breast Cancer"*. Ortiz de Solorzano C. Proceedings of the XX Congress of the Spanish Society of Pathology. pp. 253-257 (In Spanish), Pamplona, Spain July 1-5, 2001, 2001.
- *"A system for computer-based reconstruction of 3-dimensional structures from serial tissue sections: an application to the study of normal and neoplastic mammary gland biology"*. Fernandez-Gonzalez, R., Jones A., Garcia-Rodriguez E., Knowles D., Sudar D. Ortiz de Solorzano, C. Proceedings of Microscopy and Microanalysis'2001. Microscopy and Microanalysis 7(suppl. 2), pp. 964-5, 2001.

Presentations:

- *Application of three-dimensional image analysis to the study of Breast Cancer*. XX Congress of the Spanish Society of Pathology. Pamplona, Spain July 1-5, 2001, 2001. Invited talk
- *A system for computer-based reconstruction of 3-dimensional structures from serial tissue sections: an application to the study of normal and neoplastic mammary gland biology*. Microscopy and Microanalysis'01, Long Beach, CA August 5th-9th, 2001. Platform presentation.

Informatics:

- As described in the Body of the report and in the Reportable Outcomes sections, we have developed a three-dimensional computerized microscopy platform, called R3D2.

Funding applied:

- *Segmentation of Mammary Gland Ductal Structure Using Geometric Methods*. P.I.'s Malladi R. and Ortiz de Solorzano C. Submitted to the LBNL Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program (LDRD) 2002, in the Strategic-Computational Sub-Program.

Employement or Research:

- Upon reception of the Award, I was offered, and accepted, a Scientist Position at the Life Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory of the University of California.
- The outstanding work of my Research Assistant (Rodrigo Fernandez Gonzalez) on the development of the microscopy and computing infrastructure has been instrumental in his acceptance to the joint UCSF-UC Berkeley Ph.D. program in Biomedical Engineering. He will continue working part-time on this projects as Graduate Student Research Assistant.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the goals for the reporting year have been fully accomplished. We have developed a three-dimensional computerized microscopy platform that allows imaging and 3D-reconstruction of tissue blocks to combine morphological (Histo-Pathology) and molecular (Immunohistochemistry, FISH) information. Our platform directs and simplifies image acquisition at different resolution levels and rationalizes image storage and retrieval.

Applying our system to the test cases described in this report has helped us understanding some practical limitations of the described methodology (e.g. high memory and storage needs for the data sets or high interactive burden when manually annotating tissue features). Practical solutions have been proposed and implemented, and therefore the existing system is appropriate for the analysis planned for the following two years –studying the mechanisms of Breast Cancer Spread-. However, we will devote some limited resources to exploring further automation of the two most time-consuming tasks: image acquisition and tissue annotation. Imaging of whole sections can be sped up by using an automatic slide feeder attached to the microscope, so that all sections can be acquired without requiring manual interaction. Tissue annotation interaction can be greatly reduced by using existing image analysis algorithms to automatically detect tissue structures (Ducts, lymph nodes) and connect them between sections. Interaction will be then limited to annotating one section of the case and correcting the results of the automatic detection. Finally, our problems regarding tissue source and preparation have been satisfactorily solved as described in the body of this report.

The relevance of what we have achieved is significant, since our system can and will eventually be applied to a variety of studies, both molecular and clinical, which will greatly profit from the additional –third- dimension. Several Pathologists have expressed their interest in our system, arguing that it will improve the way they look at the samples and the way they handle and store ‘visual’ information. Full use of this system in a clinical setting requires further automation in the areas above mentioned, to provide additional information without a substantial increase of diagnostic time. Similarly, several colleagues in the Breast Cancer Research field have expressed their interest in our system, which would allow them to do whole sample or whole gland studies similar to the one proposed in this grant.

REFERENCES

- [Dean 90] Dean P., Mascio L., Ow D., Sudar D., Mullikin J. Proposed standard for image cytometry data files. *Cytometry* 11, 561-569, 1990.
- [Firestone 91] Firestone L., Cook K., Culp K., Talsania N., Preston K. Comparison of autofocus methods for automated microscopy. *Cytometry* 12, 195-206, 1991.
- [Groen 85] Groen F., Young I.T., Lighthart G. A comparison of different focus functions for use in autofocus algorithms. *Cytometry* 12, 81-91, 1985
- [Santos 97] Santos A., Ortiz de Solorzano C., Vaquero J.J., Pena J.M., Malpica N., del Pozo F. Evaluation of autofocus functions in molecular cytogenetic analysis.
- [Vollath 87] Vollath D. Automatic focusing by correlative methods. *Journal of Microscopy* 147, 249-288, 1987

FIGURES




Figure 1. Description of the system Figure 1. Description of the system

The application uses client-server architecture. The server runs on a computer physically attached to the microscope, and provides access to the microscope functions. The client is a JAVA application, which can run on any computer (no particular OS required) connected to the server through the Internet Protocol (IP). The communication between client and server uses UNIX sockets. The client provides user-friendly access to all the microscope functions offered by the server, and allows handling of sets of related images (Cases) for storage, annotation and 3D reconstruction of structures of interest.




Figure 2. R3D2's Graphical User Interface.

The client application (R3D2) is a JAVA application. The GUI is divided in two main parts. The two leftmost panels are for displaying consecutive sections of a Case. It also provides the user with tools for registering the sections, annotating the images and connecting structures between sections (e.g. mammary ducts, tumor volumes), and reconstructing the annotated images in 3D. The rightmost panel gives access to the microscope related functions offered by the Server.





Figure 3. Acquisition of a single image

To acquire a single image, the user clicks on the “Acquire” button, after choosing the appropriate microscope settings: objective lens, excitation filter (in fluorescence) and exposure time of the CCD camera. The “Autofocus” option automatically finds the correct focus plane of the microscope before acquiring an image. The top panel contains the entire image (reduced to fit in the window), while the lower panel contains a zoomed version of the part of the original image selected by the rectangle on the top window. The rectangle can be manually moved to look at different parts of the image at its original –full- resolution.



The image shows a 'Scanning Options' dialog box with the following sections:

- Output Selection:** A section titled 'Select what to do with the output of the scanning:' containing two radio buttons: 'Save' (unselected) and 'Send' (selected). Next to 'Save' is a text input field and a 'Browse' button.
- Channels and Filters:** A section containing a 'Number of channels' dropdown menu set to '1'. Below this is a 'Filters:' section with three rows, each with a filter name in a dropdown menu and an 'Exposure times (20 - 20000 ms)' input field. The first row has 'BRIGHT' and '60'. The second and third rows have 'DAPI' and empty fields.
- Scanning Area:** A section titled 'Select the system you want to use to locate the area to scan:' containing two radio buttons: 'Coordinates' (unselected) and 'Manual' (selected). Below 'Coordinates' are four empty text input fields. Below 'Manual' are four labels: 'Min X', 'Min Y', 'Max X', and 'Max Y'.
- Buttons:** At the bottom are 'OK' and 'Cancel' buttons.

Figure 4. Panel for acquisition of whole-sections

To acquire multiple field-of-view images, the user fills out this form, which requests the name of the file where the image will be stored (if not only displayed), the number of scans –in fluorescence, and which filter and exposure time are to be used in each scan, and the coordinates of the limits of the area. The coordinates can be entered in the boxes, if known, or otherwise interactively defined at the microscope.




Figure 5. Whole section acquisition

This image shows the result of scanning a complete tissue section from a tissue block of a patient with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ of the Breast (DCIS), stained for Histology with Hematoxylin and Eosin. As for the individual images, the upper panel contains the entire section while the lower contains a zoomed sub-area of it.





Figure 6. Revisiting of Areas of Interest

Top. R3D2 showing a case of a tissue block from a patient with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ of the Breast. The block was sectioned and stained with H&E (odd sections)

and DAPI –counterstain- and FISH to the erb-b2 gene. The interface shows two sections. The left section (the fluorescent one) shows several light (yellow) rectangles which correspond to areas which were revisited and reacquired at a higher resolution. By clicking on a rectangle, the user can display those areas in new panels, which show, in this case, a counterstained area (Bottom, left) and the image channel corresponding to the emission of the fluorochrome attached to the probe to the erb-b2 gene hybridized on the section (Bottom, right).




Figure 7. Tissue imaging

The image shows two sections from the same DCIS case used to test the systems. Left. Tissue section stained with H&E for standard histology. Right. Tissue section counterstained with DAPI. Microscope focusing and image acquisition is completely automated, as described in the text.




Figure 8. Tissue Annotation

Two consecutive H&E stained sections of the human DCIS case. Only H&E sections are now being shown. The image show manual annotations, namely ducts and tumor masses. Ducts are connected within and between sections. Tumor contours are drawn and also connected between consecutive sections. Connections between sections are shown by changing line color of all connected components every time one of them is visited (selected or just traveled over by the mouse pointer).




Figure 9. Tissue Reconstruction.

3D reconstruction of the manually annotated DCIS case. Tumor volumes have been surface-rendered to show their three-dimensional shapes. Ducts are identified by spheres and connected by lines within and between sections. The 3D reconstruction is fully interactive. It can be rotated, zoomed, and all the elements are active, in that by clicking on them one can retrieve information about the element, display the original image that contains the element or automatically move the microscope to the selected point.




Figure 10. Tissue Revisiting. Different view of the 3D reconstruction of the DCIS case, with rectangular areas corresponding to areas visited at high resolution. Those areas can be displayed by clicking on them.